

To: Allen Sorenson - DNR[allen.sorenson@state.co.us]; Way, Steven[way.steven@epa.gov]; Mike Dunn[mldunn@osmre.gov]
From: Brown - DNR, Kirstin
Sent: Wed 9/23/2015 4:54:03 PM
Subject: Fwd: drawing package uploaded to sharefile

Mike Dunn has been working on the Gold King maps a little, there are some interesting discrepancies in locations of workings from map to map.

The maps should be considered potentially inaccurate. The reason I bring this up, is because if there is any drilling planned, it may be hard to locate the mine workings by use of the GK maps as currently mapped...

If we want OSM/DRMS help with mapping we will have to ask for it somehow... To be official.

Just thought I would let you know.

See Mike's email below.

Kirstin

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Dunn, Mike <mldunn@osmre.gov>
Date: Tue, Sep 15, 2015 at 3:57 AM
Subject: drawing package uploaded to sharefile
To: "Brown, Kirstin" <Kirstin.Brown@state.co.us>

no vectors, just images in CAD 2015

probably should rename the drawing to something more meaningful - your choice

I used my recipe and also one of the maps (*5.tif*) from SJC archives and have **nailed-down** almost all to SP83

will definitely have to move many workings

The more I look at GK, the more convinced I am that all levels shown on the Sunnyside or Standard base maps from Steve's CD, in particular *GoldKingProspectShowingLevels8-86*, are fairly accurate sketches but not something to take to the bank.

the 1919 survey of levels 1 and 2 (*OSM mine map number 4304430*) "feels" more authoritative but the claim boundaries appear to be the only tie-in to rest of world

on it now

--

Mike Dunn
GEOLOGIST
OSM
3 Parkway Center
Pittsburgh, PA 15220
v 412-937-2910
f 412-937-3012
BTIP

--

Kirstin Brown
Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety
1257 Galaxy Drive
Durango, CO 81301
(970) 903-7889
kirstin.brown@state.co.us